Terra Fairs Research / Engineering Design Judging Rubric

CRITERIA	0	1	2	3	4
Written Report Title, Topic, Bibliography	No report	Incomplete report	Complete report	Well-written report	Not applicable
Exhibit Presentation Title, Topic, Key Theoreticians or Researchers, Model (if used), Photos or Illustrations (if appropriate)	No poster	Identifies few components of poster Components are not easily understood Components are not relevant to project	 Identifies most components of poster Most components are easily understood Most components are relevant to project 	 Clearly identifies all components of poster All components are easily understood All components are relevant to project 	Not applicable
Oral Presentation	No evidence of planning and organization Is not able to orally communicate about subject	Little evidence of planning and organization Is able to communicate little understanding of the subject	Some evidence of planning and organization Is able to communicate some understanding of the subject	Carefully plans and organizes information in presentation Clearly communicates information on subject Utilizes visual tools in explaining subject	Thoroughly plans and organizes information in presentation Clearly & confidently communicates subject Utilizes several visual tools in explaining subject
Understanding of Scientific/ Engineering Principle(s)	Shows no understanding	Shows little understanding of the principle	Shows some understanding of the principle	Shows a clear understanding of the principle Identifies clear control to experiment	Shows extensive knowledge of the principle Identifies clear control to experiment
Ability to Answer Questions	Cannot answer grade-level appropriate questions about subject	Can answer few grade-level appropriate questions about subject	Can answer some grade-level appropriate questions about subject	Can clearly and correctly answer most grade-level appropriate questions about subject	Can thoroughly, clearly, and correctly to answer all grade-level appropriate questions about subject

Bonus Points: Creative approach to presentation – 1 point

Definite awareness of how the subject fits within overall science/engineering area -1 point Definite plan for future research based on this literature review and project - up to 2 points Demonstration of advanced technical or laboratory techniques and skills - up to 2 points "New territory" in terms of scientific investigation or engineering design - up to 3 points

Debit Points: Any evidence of excessive quotation (lack of processing information into one's own words; no effort evidenced

that student[s] sought multiple sources for information) – up to 2 points

Lack of citation of primary source – 1 point

Debit Points for Teams: Unequal teamwork – up to 2 points

Removal: Any evidence whatsoever of plagiarism by an individual or team



Topic Presentation Judging Form



Directions: Check HERE _____ that NO DATA/RESULTS are reported!

If you see evidence of data, request official review!

Circle ONE in each row.

Place sticker here or write: STUDENT NAME
GRADE
PROJECT NAME

	Not seen	Seen to some extent	Outstanding
Scientific understanding	0	1	2
Creativity	0	1	2
Oral presentation skills	0	1	2
Quality visual presentation	0	1	2
Ability to answer questions	0	1	2

Add or deduct points below if appropriate

* Extra point: Has developed a research question +1

* Deducted point: No citations given for information -1

TOTAL

Topic Presentation Judging Form

toppostem Fairs

Directions: Check HERE _____ that NO DATA/RESULTS are reported!

If you see evidence of data, request official review!

Circle ONE in each row.

Place sticker here or write: STUDENT NAME
GRADE
PROJECT NAME

	Not seen	Seen to some extent	Outstanding
Scientific understanding	0	1	2
Creativity	0	1	2
Oral presentation skills	0	1	2
Quality visual presentation	0	1	2
Ability to answer questions	0	1	2

Add or deduct points below if appropriate

* Extra point: Has developed a research question +1

* Deducted point: No citations given for information -1

TOTAL